

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS RO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,840	09/28/2001		Erich K. Erdle		225/50450	3733	
23911 7	590 09/29/2003						
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300				ſ	EXAMINER		
				•	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				ſ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				•	1745		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 <sub>A</sub>	_	()/
• •	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	09/964,840	ERDLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/	<b>/4/03</b> .	
<u>_</u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocalosed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 16</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	//	
8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ian priority under 25 H S C	\$ 110(a) (d) ar (5)
<ul><li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 113(a)-(u) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the pr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ŭ
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
ttachment(s)		
<ul> <li>Description</li> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	5) D Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/964,840

Art Unit: 1745

1

Claims 1-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 as amended and 15 recite that the electrolyzer is "configured to receive electricity generated by an electric generator". This term implies that the electrolyzer itself has a certain structure or configuration, which would enable it to receive electricity from a certain device, while it would not be able to receive electricity from that device if it is configured in another way. However, the present disclosure does not show how the electrolyzer is "configured" in any way, or any structural details which show how it may receive electricity from the generator in one configuration, but not in another. Claims 2-10 depend from claim 1 and would likewise be indefinite. Claim 14 recites an "electrolyzer means", but the disclosure does not describe any "means", other than an electrolyzer itself, which would meet this term.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The term "electrolyzer means" does not appear in the original disclosure, and would thus constitute new matter. While there is an "electrolyzer", the term "electrolyzer means" is broader and is thus not supported over its entire scope.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,840

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1, 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Araoka *et al.*, for reasons of record, to the extent that they are understood.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araoka *et al.*, for reasons of record, to the extent that they are understood.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araoka et al. in view of Strasser et al., for reasons of record, to the extent that the claim is understood.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araoka et al. in view of Kitagani, for reasons of record, to the extent that the claim is understood.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araoka et al. in view of Buswell et al., for reasons of record, to the extent that the claim is understood.

Claims 11-13 and 16 are allowed. These claims recite that the electrolyzer is coupled to the generator, and therefore distinguish over Araoka *et al*.

Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. These claims require the presence of the generator and the electrolyzer, and recite or imply some kind of

Application/Control Number: 09/964,840

Art Unit: 1745

cooperation therebetween. In addition, claim 14 must be amended to overcome the rejection under the first paragraph of §112. This may be done by deleting the word "means".

Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that claim 1 as amended contains language which clearly qualifies the nature of the electrolyzer, which cannot be ignored. This is not persuasive for reasons stated above, that the present disclosure does not show any configurations for the electrolyzer, and thus does not clearly qualify it. The reason that the art rejection was (and still is) made is not the structure of the electrolyzer itself, but that the claims do not require the presence of the generator and the combustion engine and their connection to the electrolyzer. These components are part of the "auxiliary power unit of a vehicle", which is not actually required by claims 1-10 to be present. The claims are drawn to a power supply for this APU, but not the APU itself. Araoka et al. do not disclose the generator and the combustion engine, but because claims 1-10 do not require these to be present, Araoka et al. do not have to disclose them in order to be applicable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 703-308-0433. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

sjk

ANAMA STERRING OF THE PARTY OF